Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/823,199 | SAIKI ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | PEGAH PARVINI | 1793 | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance w | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply original design and the corresponding amount of the corresponding amou | date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE (a) and the appropriate (b) the fee. The appropriate (c) the fee in the final Office | n. ED WITHIN TWO e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | |
| 3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered be | cause | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | cted claims. | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | * ** | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | 'aral Clad anasandaran | Carana Para Ora | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 7 and 15-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an ex | planation of | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | I sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | to provide a | |
| 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consider because: See Continuation Sheet. | ered but does NOT place the applic | ation in condition for a | allowance | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | |
| /Jerry A Lorengo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793 | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 does not place the application in condition for allowance.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment to claim 1 is drawn to an intended use, and based on MPEP 2111.02, this amendment made to claim 1 does not result in structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art; therefore, the amendment has not been entered.